Measurements and the Uncertainty Principle

Byungjoon Min September 17, 2018 There are two different types of physical processes:

- time evolution following quantum dynamics (either Schrödinger's or Heisenberg's pictures)
- measurements in which wavefunction abruptly collapses.

Well, these subtle issues are strongly related to the interpretation of quantum mechanics.

- We will not deal with it seriously.
- For now, just take the most population attitude to quantum mechanics, "shut up and calculate".
- Also, just let us define that a "measurement" is the kind of things that a scientist does in the laboratory.

Statistical Interpretation

$$\int_{a}^{b} |\Psi(x,t)|^2 dx:$$
 (1)

probability of finding the particle between a and b, at time t.



Max Born

Consider eigenvectors $|\psi\rangle$ corresponding to the eigenvalues q.

$$\hat{Q}|\psi\rangle = q|\psi\rangle.$$

Determinate states are eigenfunctions of \hat{Q} . Check the variance of \hat{Q} by using an operator $\hat{Q} - \langle Q \rangle$,

$$\sigma^{2} = \langle \psi | (\hat{Q} - \langle Q \rangle)^{2} | \psi \rangle = 0$$
(2)

Expectation Value

For an eigenvector equation $\hat{Q}|n\rangle = q_n|n\rangle$.

$$c_n = \langle n | S \rangle$$

= $\int dx \langle n | x \rangle \langle x | S \rangle$
= $\int dx f_n(x)^* \Psi(x),$

where $\Psi(x) = \langle x | S \rangle$ and $f_n(x) = \langle x | n \rangle$. The expectation value of Q is

$$\begin{split} \langle Q \rangle &= \langle S | \hat{Q} | S \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n} \sum_{m} \langle S | n \rangle \langle n | Q | m \rangle \langle m | S \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n} \sum_{m} c_{n}^{*} \langle n | Q | m \rangle c_{m} = \sum_{n} \sum_{m} q_{n} c_{n}^{*} \langle n | m \rangle c_{m} \\ &= \sum_{n} \sum_{m} q_{n} c_{n}^{*} c_{m} \delta_{n,m} = \sum_{n} q_{n} c_{n}^{*} c_{n} \\ &= \sum_{n} q_{n} |c_{n}|^{2}. \end{split}$$

Compatible Observabales

Consider the eigenfunctions $|f_i\rangle$ corresponding to the eigenvalue a_i of the operator \hat{A} ,

$$\hat{A}|f_i\rangle = a_i|f_i\rangle. \tag{3}$$

If this eigenfunctions will be simultaneous eigenfunctions of another operator B with the eigenvalue b_i ,

$$\hat{B}|f_i\rangle = b_i|f_i\rangle,\tag{4}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{split} \hat{A}\hat{B}|f_i\rangle &= Ab_i|f_i\rangle = ab|f_i\rangle,\\ \hat{B}\hat{A}|f_i\rangle &= Ba_i|f_i\rangle = ab|f_i\rangle,\\ (\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A})|f_i\rangle &= 0. \end{split}$$

More generally, $|S\rangle = \sum_{i} c_{i} f_{i}$ also holds the relation, $\sum_{i} c_{i} (\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}) |f_{i}\rangle = (\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}) \sum_{i} c_{i} |f_{i}\rangle$

$$= [A, B] |S\rangle = 0$$

Therefore, two observables are compatible each other, [A, B] = 0.

We introduce an operator $\sigma_A = \hat{A} - \langle A \rangle$. The expectation value of the square of the operator is

$$\begin{split} \langle \sigma_A^2 \rangle &= \langle \Psi | (\hat{A} - \langle A \rangle)^2 | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \langle (\hat{A} - \langle A \rangle) \Psi | (\hat{A} - \langle A \rangle) \Psi \rangle = \langle f | f \rangle, \end{split}$$

where $|f\rangle = (\hat{A} - \langle A \rangle)|\Psi\rangle$. Similarly, we define

$$\langle \sigma_B^2 \rangle = \langle g | g \rangle.$$

Due to the Schwarz inequality,

$$\langle \sigma_A^2 \rangle \langle \sigma_B^2 \rangle = \langle f | f \rangle \langle g | g \rangle \ge |\langle g | f \rangle|^2.$$
(5)

Uncertainty Principle

$$\begin{split} \langle \sigma_A^2 \rangle \langle \sigma_B^2 \rangle &\geq |\langle \Psi | (\hat{A} - \langle A \rangle) (\hat{B} - \langle B \rangle) |\Psi \rangle|^2 \\ &= |\langle \sigma_A \sigma_B \rangle|^2. \end{split}$$

We can rewrite the term $\sigma_A \sigma_B$ as

$$\sigma_A \sigma_B = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_A \sigma_B - \sigma_A \sigma_B) + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_A \sigma_B + \sigma_A \sigma_B)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} [\sigma_A, \sigma_B] + \frac{1}{2} \{\sigma_A, \sigma_B\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} [A, B] + \frac{1}{2} \{\sigma_A, \sigma_B\},$$

where $[\sigma_A, \sigma_B] = [A, B]$. Note that [A, B] is always anti-Hermitian $(X^{\dagger} = -X)$ and $\{A, B\}$ is Hermitian $(X^{\dagger} = X)$. Finally, we can conclude that

$$\langle \sigma_A^2 \rangle \langle \sigma_B^2 \rangle \ge \frac{1}{4} |\langle [A, B] \rangle|^2.$$
 (6)

As an example,

$$\begin{split} \langle \sigma_x^2 \rangle \langle \sigma_p^2 \rangle &\geq \frac{1}{4} |\langle [x, p] \rangle|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} |i\hbar|^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we can recover the standard uncertainty relation,

$$\langle \sigma_x \rangle \langle \sigma_p \rangle \ge \frac{\hbar}{2}.$$

Incompatible observables $([A, B] \neq 0)$ do not have common eigenvectors, so that they cannot be observed exactly at the same time. Three dimensional problems